	Case 4:10-cv-05956-CW Document 41	Filed 04/27/11 Page 2 of 10	
1	Filed with this stipulation is the proposed pleading and a proposed order.		
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED		
3	Date: April 27, 2011	THE LAW OFFICES OF JOSEPH L. ALIOTO & ANGELA ALIOTO	
4		L. ALIOTO & ANGELA ALIOTO	
5		/o /	
6		/s/ By: Steven L. Robinson	
7		By: Steven L. Robinson Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	Date: April 27, 2011	THE MILLER LAW GROUP	
9			
10		/s/	
11		By: Janine Simerly Attorneys for Defendant	
12 13		Attorneys for Defendant	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>			
20	Totah v. Bies, C-10-05956-cw Stipulation For Leave to File First Amended Complaint	-	- 2 -

# Case 4:10-cv-05956-CW Document 41 Filed 04/27/11 Page 4 of 10 Court hereby grants Plaintiff leave to file her First Amended Complaint. IT IS SO ORDERED Date: 4/27/2011 United States District Judge

#### I. JURISDICTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1. This action was initiated in the Superior Court of California. Defendant DONALD BIES removed this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sect 1441(b). This Court has previously decided that it has jurisdiction over this matter, pursuant to 28 USC Sect 1331, on the basis of an apparent Federal question due to the fact that at least some of the acts here at issue may have occurred at or in connection with a federal enclave.

### II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 8 | 2. At all pertinent times mentioned in this complaint, Plaintiff TABITHA TOTAH was an employee and/or former employee of Lucasfilm Licensing.
- 10 | 3. At all pertinent times mentioned in this complaint, Defendant BIES was an independent contractor and customer/partner of Lucasfilm Licensing and responsible for the illegal acts set forth herein.
- 13 | 4. At certain times mentioned herein, TOTAH and BIES traveled together, including internationally, on business for Lucasfilm.
- 5. On or about March 6, 2010, TOTAH was terminated from her job of five years with Lucasfilm based in part on allegations made by BIES. Those allegations were:
  - a. That TOTAH was sexually promiscuous;
  - b. That TOTAH had the reputation of being sexually promiscuous:
  - c. That TOTAH "was a bad representative of Lucasfilm";
  - d. That TOTAH "missed meetings" while traveling on Lucasfilm business;
  - e. That TOTAH was responsible for problems with a text panel at the exhibit in Korea;
    - f. That TOTAH "partied too much"; and
    - g. That TOTAH "drank too much."
- 25 | 6. The other basis for TOTAH's termination from employment was caused by her attempt to determine the source of the allegations contained in Paragraph 5.
- 27 7. The allegations contained in paragraph 5 supra were and are false. These allegations

- 1 were false and were known by BIES to be false.
- 2 | 8. BIES published the allegations contained in paragraph 5 to Stacy Cheregotis at a picnic/barbeque held at her home, which is outside of the San Francisco Presidio.
  - 9. Cheregotis communicated the allegations contained in paragraph 5 to Howard Roffman, the President of Lucasfilm Licensing.
  - 10. BIES repeated the allegations contained in paragraph 5 to Howard Roffman in a telephone call. As a result of that communication, a decison was made to discipline TOTAH. When TOTAH was disciplined, she was advised of substantive parts of the allegations contained in Paragraph 5, but not the source of the allegations.
  - 11. In February, 2009, TOTAH asked BIES whether he was the source of the allegations that had led to her discipline, as described in Paragraph 10. BIES said "no," misrepresenting his prior communications with Cheregotis and Roffman. In response to BIES denial, TOTAH apologized. TOTAH never brought up the issue with BIES again.
- 12. On or about February 24, 2010, TOTAH learned that BIES had lied to her and had defamed her by communicating the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 supra.

  TOTAH had sued her employer, Lucasfilm Licensing for wrongful termination of employment and sexual harassment. In that lawsuit, TOTAH deposed BIES and it was in that deposition, on February 24, 2010, that BIES admitted being the source of the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 supra.
  - 13. The defamatory statements contained in Paragraph 5 supra had been relayed by Cheregotis to Roffman and by Roffman to TOTAH's immediate supervisors at Lucasfilm Licensing. The statements were later published and foreseeably republished internally and externally. They were later discovered by TOTAH to have caused first, the discipline imposed upon her by Lucasfilm Licensing managers and then her wrongful and illegal termination.
  - 14. Until BIES made the communications contained in Paragraph 5, TOTAH had an

1 excellent reputation in her trade or profession. 2 3 III. 4 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF [Defamation] 5 15. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the facts contained in paragraphs 1 through 6 14 with the same force and effect as if fully pleaded at length herein. 7 16. Defendant BIES made the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 supra, to at least 8 two individuals other than Plaintiff, specifically Cheregotis and Roffman. 9 10 17. That the individuals to whom BIES had communicated the allegations contained 11 in Paragraph 5 supra reasonably understood that the allegations were about 12 TOTAH. 13 18. That the individuals to whom BIES had communicated the allegations contained 14 in Paragraph 5 supra reasonably understood the allegation that TOTAH was 15 sexually promiscuous and/or had the reputation for being sexually promiscuous 16 to mean that TOTAH was sexually unchaste. 17 18 19. That the individuals to whom BIES had communicated the allegations contained 19 in Paragraph 5 supra reasonably understood them as impugning TOTAH's job 20 performance. 21 20. The above complained-of publications by Defendant BIES were made with hatred 22 and ill will towards Plaintiff and the design and intent to injure Plaintiff, 23 Plaintiff's good name, her reputation, employment and employability. Defendant 24 published these statements, not with an intent to protect any interest intended to 25 be protected by any privilege, but with negligence, recklessness and/or an intent 26 to injure Plaintiff and destroy her reputation. Therefore, no privilege existed to 27 28

Totah v. Bies, Case No. C-10-05956-cw First Amended Complain**t**  protect the Defendant from liability for any of these aforementioned publications or republications.

- 21. As a proximate result of the publication and republication of these defamatory statements by Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer injury to her personal, business and professional reputation. As a result of the slanders by BIES, Plaintiff has lost employment and has lost and will lose employment opportunities, resulting in significant economic loss in the form of lost wages and future earnings. Accordingly, she is entitled to recovery compensatory damages in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 22. As a proximate result of the publication and republication of these defamatory statements by Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered embarrassment, humiliation, severe emotional distress, shunning, anguish, and fear. Accordingly, she is entitled to recover damages for mental anguish in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 23. Defendant committed the acts alleged herein recklessly, maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff, for an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and which abused and/or prevented the existence of any conditional privilege, which in fact did not exist, and with a reckless and conscious disregard of Plaintiffs rights. Plaintiff is thus entitled to recover punitive and exemplary damages from Defendant for these wanton, obnoxious, and despicable acts according to proof at the time of trial.

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff TABITHA TOTAH hereby demands trial of this matter by jury.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF